



# ELECTRIC GRID FUNDAMENTALS

OR, *“CAN THE GRID HANDLE BUILDING, VEHICLE AND INDUSTRIAL ELECTRIFICATION?”*

PETER TURNBULL, PETER TURNBULL & ASSOCIATES LLC

## SHORT ANSWER: **YES**, BUT WITH A FEW CAVEATS

- Adequate planning is the key—but let's keep in mind that “planning” covers a lot of ground
- A large number of policy-making bodies are involved with differing policy objectives and they will need to cooperate and collaborate to avoid “unintended consequences”.

# AGENCIES INVOLVED (NOT AN EXHAUSTIVE LIST)

- CPUC: jurisdiction over IOU rates and service rules
- CEC: jurisdiction over power plant siting, resource adequacy forecasting, codes and standards
- CAISO: operates the transmission system (including planning and infrastructure); supports a competitive market for wholesale (non-profit public benefit corporation)
- CARB: protects public health and welfare by regulating emissions
- FERC: regulates interstate transmission of electricity and natural gas
- Cities, counties, CCAs, regional networks . . .

# WHY DO I SAY, “YES, THE GRID CAN HANDLE IT”

- I started in 1981: Let’s look at California from 1981-2000
- CA population in 1981: 24.3 million
- CA population in 2000: 34.0 million (40% increase)
- Current population of Michigan: 10.0 million (tenth largest state)
- One way to look at it: over 20 years, **the equivalent of the entire state of Michigan moved to CA**. In addition to energy, all of the new residents need jobs, housing, roads and bridges, airports, mass transit, schools, etc., etc. etc.
- There were numerous cases of the grid getting close to the limit, especially during the summer peaks, but the grid never collapsed: lots of mitigations.

# MITIGATIONS: AN "ALL OF THE ABOVE" APPROACH

- Numerous efforts on the **demand side**:
  - Large Interruptible/Curtailable rate plans for industry; direct control programs for A/C units and swimming pool pumps
  - Time-of-use rate plans starting with commercial and industrial customers
  - Huge investments in energy efficiency programs—transactional (CPUC) and research (CEC)
  - Building and appliance codes and standards (CEC and DOE)
- All kinds of efforts on the **supply side**
  - Generation (lots of activity from Diablo Canyon to small power plants)
  - T&D (ongoing)

# RATEMAKING 101 FOR LOCAL GOVERNMENT AND REGIONAL ORGANIZATIONS

- IOUs (~75-80% of CA market) earn money from **infrastructure, not** from the actual kWhs and Therms. However, residential rates (especially) are **commodity-based** (kWhs and Therms).
- Rates are fundamentally based on a **revenue requirement (RR)** and a **throughput (sales) forecast**. Filed and adjudicated periodically with the CPUC
- **RR = Capital investment + Expenses + Earnings (rate of return on CI)**
  - Capital: The cost to build out and maintain the system (AKA “pipes and wires)
  - Expenses: Personnel costs, equipment, taxes, purchased energy
- Electric Rate = **RR / Forecasted sales (in kWh)**
  - Dozens of rate schedules with combinations of fixed and variable costs
  - Bottom line: the sum total of all revenue from all rates must equal the RR
  - Annual gas and electricity sales can be modeled quite accurately relative to other commodities

# SOME KEY IMPLICATIONS TO RATEMAKING MODELS

**Core idea:** collect cost of service fairly including a “reasonable” rate of return

**Balancing Accounts:** sales forecast won't be perfect: what if the RR = \$1.0 billion but rate/forecast model leads to \$1.02 billion? Over-collections refunded in subsequent year(s). (Same in reverse with under-collections).

**Rates and Rate Features:** designed to effect cost minimization. For example, the top 1% of peak kWh sales might cost 20x average cost therefore high peak charges are designed to reduce peak usage.

**“Subsidies” or “discounts”** to any given customer group or segment (i.e. under-collect the RR to that group) must be “made up” by some other set of customers: the RR does NOT change

- Low income rates, economic development rates
- Special technical incentive rates (e.g., NEM rates)

# WHAT DOES THIS MEAN FOR DEMAND-SIDE DECARB?

- Gas rates (esp. residential) are collected based mainly on how many therms are used (a “volumetric” rate)
- However, the RR for gas rates is dominated by the infrastructure cost (pipes, compressors, etc.)—all you have to do is look at PG&E’s G-1 gas rate
  - 2022 “Procurement” (the **gas itself** PG&E acquires) = \$0.75/Th (a **pass-through cost**)
  - 2022 “Transport” (the **infrastructure to deliver the gas**) = \$1.62/Th (68% of the bill)
  - 2023 full year forecast: Transport is 75% of the bill
- **Very important: the cost of the infrastructure does not change (much) based on the amount of gas put through the system.**
- Reducing “Transport” by, say, 50% wouldn’t reduce the RR of the Transport cost very much, but it would need to be collected with half as many Therms: **a huge impact on the total customer gas bill** (for customers staying on gas).



## THE GOOD NEWS . . .

- As buildings, industry and transportation electrifies, there should be downward pressure on electric infrastructure costs, **at least in a relative sense**
- Over the past 40-50 years, the utilization rate of electric infrastructure (i.e., total usage as a percentage of peak) has fallen.
- 1960, 12% of homes had A/C, today 91%--grid usage (compared to peak) has declined
- Electrification, especially with storage (commercial level plus individual customers) can increase overall grid utilization—roughly the opposite impact as on the gas system

The background is a solid teal color with a subtle gradient. In the four corners, there are decorative white line-art elements resembling circuit traces or a network diagram, with small circles at the end of the lines.

THANK YOU!

Peter Turnbull

Peter Turnbull & Associates LLC

[Peter@turnbullenergy.com](mailto:Peter@turnbullenergy.com)

415.260.2955